**Rationale**

- Difficulty with social communication skills is a core diagnostic feature of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD; American Psychiatric Association, 2013).
- Pretend play is an important context for learning social communication skills during childhood (Schuler & Wolfberg, 2000); however, many children with ASD are at risk for exclusion from play due to difficulty with both communication and play skills (Boudreau & Harvey, 2013).
- A number of play-based interventions have been developed for children with ASD (e.g., Wolfberg, DeWitt, Young & Nguyen, 2015) but most do not provide an alternate means of expression for individuals with limited speech.
- Videos with integrated visual scene displays (Video VSDs) offer a platform that provides simultaneous support for both play skills (through video modeling) and communication (Light, McNaughton & Jakobs, 2014).
- Video VSDs have been shown to support both skills acquisition and communication in adolescents with ASD and limited speech (Babb, Gormley, Light & McNaughton, 2017; O’Neill, Light & McNaughton, 2017), but have not yet been studied with younger children or within a play context.

**Research Question**

What is the effect of video VSDs on the frequency of symbolic communicative turns taken by a child with ASD and limited speech during interactive pretend play with a typically developing peer?

**Methods**

- Single subject, multiple probe design across 3 play activities
- Independent variable: Video VSDs + instruction
- Dependent variable: Frequency of symbolic communicative turns taken by the participant with ASD
- Two phases: Baseline and intervention

**Participants and Setting**

**One child dyad**

- Participant with ASD:
  - CARS 2: severe
  - 8 years old
  - Uses single words, short phrases, and scripted utterances
  - Play characterized by repeated use of scripted routines and language
  - Typically developing peer:
  - 8 years old (second grade)
  - No reported developmental concerns

**School setting**

- All sessions conducted in a quiet room separate from the classroom
- Participants sat together at a table for all probes and instructional sessions

**Materials**

- Play activities
  - Identified via preference assessment (consultation/observation with families, teacher and participants)
  - Afforded opportunities for pretend play
- Video VSDs
  - Three discrete pretend play actions for each activity were identified through observation of typically developing children engaging in each activity
  - Video depicts mock play actions were captured and edited to isolate action and remove extraneous sound
  - Video VSDs created using the GoVisual® application (颤颤巍巍) on an apple iPad

**Procedures**

**Probes:**

- 5-minute probe sessions
- Baseline: low-tech VSDs provided; no tablet available
- Intervention: Tablet with video VSDs provided instruction following each intervention probe:
  - Introduce
  - Explain task
  - Review app features
  - Introduce VSDs
  - Select a thumbnail to perform a VSD
  - Take turns sharing videos
  - Provide feedback
  - Take turns communicating with VSD
  - Provide feedback

**Discussion & Implications**

- The participant with ASD demonstrated an increase in frequency of symbolic communicative turns across all three play activities.
- This study provides preliminary evidence that videos with integrated VSDs can facilitate communication during pretend play with typically developing peers for children with ASD and limited speech.
- Videos with integrated VSDs may help to increase opportunities for children with ASD to build peer relationships and practice social communication skills within enjoyable, naturalistic activities.
- Future research should replicate the results with a larger number of participants and explore generalization to additional activities. Future studies should also investigate use of video VSDs to support both play skills and communication a) within more naturalistic settings (e.g., classrooms, homes) and b) with larger groups of children.

**Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Average Baseline Score</th>
<th>Average Intervention Score</th>
<th>Average Gain Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity 1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>5.6 turns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity 2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10.6 turns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity 3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11 turns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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